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#### Abstract

The minimum, sinusoidal drive for resonant rotation of a weakly damped pendulum and the contiguous loci of stable states in a frequency-energy plane are determined by perturbing the solution for undamped, unforced oscillations and invoking the method of harmonic balance. Instability occurs through turningpoint and period-doubling bifurcations, and the resonant states are stable only in rather small frequency intervals between these bifurcations.


© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Sinusoidly forced, periodic rotation of a pendulum of length $l$ is governed by the nonlinear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\theta}+2 \delta \dot{\theta}+\sin \theta=\varepsilon \sin \omega t, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the angular displacement from the downward vertical, $\dot{\theta} \equiv \mathrm{d} \theta / \mathrm{d} t$ is the angular velocity, $\delta(\equiv 1 / Q$ in Ref. [1]) is the damping ratio, $\varepsilon$ is the ratio of the maximum driving moment to the maximum gravitational moment, $\omega$ is the driving frequency, and the unit of time is $(l / g)^{1 / 2}$.

Numerical solutions of Eq. (1) for $\delta=\frac{1}{8}$ have been obtained through analog simulation by D'Humieres et al. [1], and some of the present results have been reported in Ref. [2], but the analytical solution does not appear to have been published. The present paper considers the

[^0]analytical solution for $0<\delta \ll 1$ with $\varepsilon=O(\delta)$ and shows that the minimum drive for stable rotational oscillations is given by $\varepsilon=4.60 \delta$.

## 2. Free oscillations

The solution of Eq. (1) for free ( $\delta=\varepsilon=0$ ) rotational oscillations is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=2 \mathrm{am}(t / k)=\omega_{0} t+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \operatorname{sech}\left(m \pi K^{\prime} / K\right) \sin \left(m \omega_{0} t\right),  \tag{2a}\\
\omega_{0}=\pi / k K \tag{2b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where am is Jacobi's amplitude of modulus $k$ (to be determined), $K=K(k)$ is a complete elliptic integral of the first kind, $K^{\prime} \equiv K\left(k^{\prime}\right)$, and $k^{\prime} \equiv\left(1-k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, all in the notation of Byrd and Friedman [3].

## 3. Harmonic-balance approximation

The solution for free oscillations (Section 2) suggests the two-parameter perturbation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\tau)=2 \mathrm{am} \tau, \quad \tau=\frac{\omega t-\phi}{k \omega_{0}} \quad(0<\delta, \varepsilon \ll 1) \tag{3a,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the parameters $k$ and $\phi$ may be approximated by the method of harmonic balance. Substituting Eqs. (3a,b) into Eq. (1) and invoking $\dot{\theta}=2\left(\omega / k \omega_{0}\right) \operatorname{dn} \tau, \ddot{\theta}=-2\left(\omega / \omega_{0}\right)^{2} \operatorname{sn} \tau \mathrm{cn} \tau$, and $\sin \theta=2 \sin (\mathrm{am} \tau) \cos (\mathrm{am} \tau)=2 \operatorname{sn} \tau \mathrm{cn} \tau$, where sn, cn and dn are Jacobi elliptic functions [3], yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}\right) \operatorname{sn} \tau \operatorname{cn} \tau+2 \delta\left(\omega / k \omega_{0}\right) \operatorname{dn} \tau=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \sin \left(k \omega_{0} \tau+\phi\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\sin 2 \theta$ - and $\mathrm{d} \theta / \mathrm{d} \tau$ - weighted averages (indicated by $\rangle$ ) of Eq. (4) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}\right)\left\langle\operatorname{sn}^{2} \tau \mathrm{dn}^{2} \tau\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \cos \phi\langle\operatorname{sn} \tau \mathrm{cn} \tau \sin (\pi \tau / K)\rangle \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \delta\left(\frac{\omega}{k \omega_{0}}\right)\left\langle\operatorname{dn}^{2} \tau\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \sin \phi\langle\operatorname{dn} \tau \cos (\pi \tau / K)\rangle \tag{5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, after evaluating the integrals [3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon \omega_{0} \cos \phi}{\omega_{0}^{2}-\omega^{2}}=\frac{2 K}{\pi^{2} k}\left(\frac{E^{2}-k^{\prime 2} K^{2}}{E K^{\prime}+E^{\prime} K-K K^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\cosh ^{2}\left(\pi K^{\prime} / K\right)}{\sinh \left(\pi K^{\prime} / K\right)} \equiv L\left(\omega_{0}\right) \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon \omega_{0} \sin \phi}{2 \delta \omega}=\frac{4 E}{\pi k} \cosh \left(\frac{\pi K^{\prime}}{K}\right) \equiv R\left(\omega_{0}\right) \tag{6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

A preliminary exploration reveals that Eqs. $(6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ yield real values of $\omega$ for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ only if $k^{\prime 2} \equiv 1-k^{2} \ll 1$, which permits the approximations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \sim\left(4 / \pi^{2} \omega_{0}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \omega_{0}\right) \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \omega_{0}\right) \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \sim(4 / \pi) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \omega_{0}\right) \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $R$ given by Eq. (6b) and approximation (7b) are compared in Fig. 1. Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) and eliminating $\phi$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}=1-2 \delta^{2} T^{2} \pm\left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{R^{2}}-4 \delta^{2}\right) T^{2}+4(\delta T)^{4}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\pi \tanh \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \omega_{0}\right) \tag{8b}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. $R\left(\omega_{0}\right)$, as given by Eq. (6b) $(-)$ and (7b) $(---)$.

The corresponding approximation to the Fourier expansion of Eq. (3a) is [cf. Eq. (2a)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\omega t-\phi+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{1}{2} m \pi \omega_{0}\right) \sin [m(\omega t-\phi)] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Eqs. (7b) and (8) that $\omega^{2}$ is real, and hence that rotational oscillations are possible (but not necessarily stable), if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon>(8 \delta / \pi)\left[\cosh ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \omega_{0}\right)-\pi^{2} \delta^{2} \sinh ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi \omega_{0}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}>8 \delta / \pi . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensionless mean energy implied by Eq. (3) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\mathrm{E}\rangle & =\left\langle\frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}^{2}+1-\cos \theta\right\rangle=\frac{2}{k^{2}}\left[1+\frac{E}{K}\left(\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}-1\right)\right]  \tag{11a}\\
& \sim 2\left[1+16 \exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right)+\frac{\omega_{0}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\omega^{2}}{\omega_{0}^{2}}-1\right)\right](k \uparrow 1) . \tag{11b}
\end{align*}
$$

The locus of $2 K$-periodic (in $\tau$ ) solutions in an $\omega-\langle\mathrm{E}\rangle$ plane (see Fig. 2) is a loop (the resonance curve) that lies above/below the locus of free oscillations, $\left\langle\mathrm{E}_{0}\right\rangle=2 / k^{2}$, for $\phi \gtrless \frac{1}{2} \pi$ and intersects $\left\langle\mathrm{E}_{0}\right\rangle$ at $\omega=0$ and at $\omega=\omega_{0}=\omega_{*}(\delta, \varepsilon)$, where $\omega_{*}$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\omega_{*}\right)=(\varepsilon / 2 \delta) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the expansion about $\omega=\omega_{*}$, that the turning point, $\omega=\omega_{1}(\delta, \varepsilon)$, at which $\mathrm{d}\langle\mathrm{E}\rangle / \mathrm{d} \omega=0$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=\omega_{*}+\delta^{2} T_{*}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} T_{*} \omega_{*}\right)^{2}+O\left(\delta^{4}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 2. The perturbation energy, as calculated from Eqs. (8a) and (11b), for $\delta=\frac{1}{8}$ and $\varepsilon=0.5,0.6$ and 0.7. The solid/ dashed segments comprise stable/unstable states. The dots and crosses mark the turning-point $\left(\omega=\omega_{1}\right)$ and perioddoubling ( $\omega=\omega_{2}$ ) bifurcation determined by Eqs. (13) and (17), respectively.
and lies on the upper branch (upper sign in Eq. (8)) of the resonance curve. The $2 K$-periodic solution approximated by Eq. (3) loses stability to a $2 K$-periodic perturbation at the turning point, and the remaining states on the upper branch of the resonance curve (above and to the left of the turning point) are unstable.

## 4. Period doubling

The $2 K$-periodic solution approximated by Eq. (3) also loses stability to a $4 K$-periodic solution through a period-doubling bifurcation at $\omega=\omega_{2}(\delta, \varepsilon)$. The solution of Eq. (1) near this perioddoubling bifurcation may be approximated by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta(\tau)=2 \mathrm{am} \tau+A(t) P(\tau), \quad|A| \ll 1,  \tag{14a,b}\\
& \dot{A}(t)=O(\varepsilon A), \quad P(\tau+4 K)=P(\tau), \tag{14c,d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is (by hypothesis) a small, slowly varying amplitude, and $P$ is $4 K$-periodic. Substituting Eq. (14a) into Eq. (1), linearizing in $A$, taking the moment of the result with respect to $P$, integrating $P P_{\tau \tau}$ by parts, and invoking Eq. (14d) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle P^{2}\right\rangle(\ddot{A}+2 \delta \dot{A})+\left\langle\left(1-2 \mathrm{sn}^{2} \tau\right) P^{2}-\left(\omega / k \omega_{0}\right)^{2} P_{\tau}^{2}\right\rangle A=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Eq. (15) that a necessary condition for stability with respect to the period-doubling perturbation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(1-2 \operatorname{sn}^{2} \tau\right) P^{2}-\left(\omega / k \omega_{0}\right)^{2} P_{\tau}^{2}\right\rangle \equiv \lambda(P)>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion of the solution of Eq. (1) in powers of $\varepsilon$ leads to a sequence of Lame equations of degree 1 , which suggests that $P$ be expanded in the $4 K$-periodic Lamé functions $E c_{1}^{2 m+1}(\tau)$ and $E s_{1}^{2 m+1}(\tau)$. The dominant member of this set is $E c_{1}^{1}=\mathrm{cn} \tau[4]$, which, together with the fact that the functional $\lambda(P)$ is stationary, within $1+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$, with respect to variations of $P$ about its true value (this follows from a Lagrangian formulation), suggests the trial function $P=\mathrm{cn} \tau$. The resulting approximation to $\omega_{2}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{0}}\right)=1-\frac{3 k^{\prime 2}\left(E-k^{\prime 2} K\right)}{\left(1-2 k^{\prime 2}\right) E+k^{\prime 2} K} \sim 1-48 \exp \left(-\frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{0}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding about $\omega=\omega_{*}$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2}=\omega_{*}+48 T_{*}^{-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} T_{*} \omega_{*}\right) \exp \left(-2 \pi / \omega_{*}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T_{*} \omega_{*}>2$ the period-doubling bifurcation lies below the turning point, and that segment of the resonance curve between these two bifurcations comprises stable states; their vertical order is reversed, and there are no stable states, if $T_{*} \omega_{*}<2$. It follows that $\varepsilon>4.60 \delta$ is necessary for stability; cf. Eq. (10), which implies $\varepsilon>2.55 \delta$ for the existence of rotational oscillations.

D'Humieres et al. [1, Figs. 1 and 3] report only a very narrow $\omega-\varepsilon$ band of rotational oscillations for $\delta=\frac{1}{8}$ and $\varepsilon<1$, with a minimum $\varepsilon$ of roughly $0.7(\varepsilon / \delta>5.6)$. A repetition of their numerical integration (but on a digital, rather than an analog, computer) confirmed their determination of a rotational state for $\delta=\frac{1}{8}, \varepsilon=0.7$ and $\omega=0.55$ and yielded $\langle E\rangle=1.88$, which
compares well with $\langle\mathrm{E}\rangle=1.79$ from Eqs. (8b) and (10). A series of runs for $\delta=\frac{1}{8}, \varepsilon=\frac{5}{8}$ and $\omega=0.1(0.05) 0.85$, with initial conditions to match Eq. (3), produced (for $\omega t \gg 1$ ) only swinging oscillations.

## 5. Subharmonic resonance

Solution (3) describes subharmonic resonance between the input and the $m$ th harmonic in Eq. (2a) if $\omega_{0}$ is replaced by $\omega=m \omega_{0}$ (so that $\langle\dot{\theta}\rangle=\omega / m$ ) in Eq. (3b). The input-dissipation balance then yields $m \pi K^{\prime} / K$ in place of $\pi K^{\prime} / K$ in Eqs. (6a,b) and $\omega$ in place of $\omega_{0}$ in Eqs. (7a,b). Odd subharmonics do not appear in the numerical experiments of D'Humieres et al. [1], at least for $\varepsilon<1$ (D'Humieres et al. do report oscillations for which $\langle\dot{\theta}\rangle=m \omega$ and $m \omega / n, m>n$, but only for $\varepsilon>1$ ).
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